arXiv:1602.04756v1 [math.CV] 15 Feb 2016

Levy's phenomenon for analytic functions in the polydisc

A. O. Kuryliak

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
kurylyak88@gmail.com

O. B. Skaskiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
matstud@franko.lviv.ua

S. R. Skaskiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
matstud@franko.lviv.ua

Abstract

In this paper we prove some analogue of Wiman's type inequality for random analytic functions in the polydisc $\mathbb{D}^p = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^p \colon |z_j| < 1, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}\}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The obtained inequality is sharp.

Subject Classification: 30B20, 30D20

Keywords: maximum modulus, maximal term, analytic functions in the polydisc, Wiman's type inequality, random analytic function

1 Introduction

By $\mathcal{A}^1$ we denote the class of analytic functions in the disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \colon |z| < 1\}$, represented by power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

with radii of convergence $R(f) = 1$. Let $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|\colon |z| = r\}$ be maximum modulus and $\mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n|r^n\colon n \geq 0\}$ maximal term of $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$, $r \in [0; 1)$.

For analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and every $\delta > 0$ there exists a set $E_f(\delta) \subset (0, 1)$ of finite logarithmic measure on $(0, 1)$, i.e.

$$\int_{E_f(\delta)} \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty,$$

such that for all $r \in (0, 1)\backslash E_f(\delta)$ the inequality

$$M_f(r) \leq \frac{\mu_f(r)}{(1-r)^{1+\delta}} \ln^{1/2+\delta} \frac{\mu_f(r)}{1-r} \tag{2}$$

holds. Similar inequality for analytic function in the unit disc one can find in [1, 2, 4, 5].

Also (see [2]) was proved the sharpness of inequality (2). In particular,

$$\varliminf_{r\to 1-0} \frac{M_g(r)}{\frac{\mu_g(r)}{1-r} \ln^{1/2} \frac{\mu_g(r)}{1-r}} \geq C > 0,\ g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \exp\{n^\varepsilon\} z^n,\ \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \tag{3}$$

Using the Baire categories, in [12, 13] was described the "quantity" of those analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$, for which inequality (2) can be improved.

We start from two statement for random analytic functions in the unit disc. Idea of proof of second statement will be used for proof of theorem 2.4.

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. We consider the Steinhaus probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the σ-algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of Ω. Let $X = (X_n(t))$ be some sequence of random variables defined in this space. For an analytic function of the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ by $H(f, X)$ we denote the class of random analytic functions of the form

$$f(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n X_n(t) z^n. \tag{4}$$

In the sequel, the notion "almost surely" will be used in the sense that the corresponding property holds almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure P on $\Omega = [0, 1]$. We say that some relation holds almost surely in the class $H(f, X)$ if it holds for each analytic function $f(z, t)$ of the form (4) almost surely in t.

Let $X = (X_n(t))$ be multiplicative system (MS) uniformly bounded by the number 1. That is for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have $|X_n(t)| \leq 1$ for almost all $t \in [0; 1]$ and

$$\forall (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^k,\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k :\ \mathbf{M}(X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_k}) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{M}\xi$ is the expected value of a random variable ξ.

Similarly to [4] one can prove such a statement.

Theorem 1.1 ([12]). *Let $f(z,t)$ be random analytic function of form (4), $X \in MS$ and $|X_n| \le 1$ for almost all $t \in [0;1]$. Then almost surely in $H(f,X)$ for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a set $E = E(f,t,\delta) \subset [0,1)$ of finite logarithmic measure on $[0;1)$ ($\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty$) such that for all $r \in [0,1)\backslash E$ we have*

$$M_f(r,t) \le \mu_f(r)\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^2}\cdot \ln\frac{\mu_f(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/4+\delta}. \tag{5}$$

Sharpness of inequality (5) follows from such a statement.

Theorem 1.2 ([12]). *Let $X \in$ be arbitrary sequence of random variables such that $|X_n| \ge 1$ for almost all $t \in [0;1]$. Then there exist random analytic function $f(z,t)$ of form (4) and a constants $C > 0$, $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that almost surely in $H(f,X)$ for $r \in (r_0,1)$ we have*

$$M_f(r,t) > C\mu_f(r)\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^2}\cdot \ln\frac{\mu_f(r)}{1-r}\right)^{1/4},\ r \to 1-0. \tag{6}$$

2 Wiman's type inequality for analytic functions in the polydisc

In [10, 11, 13] one can find Wiman's type inequality for entire functions of several complex variables. Also in [3] sharp Wiman's inequality was proved for analytic functions represented by the power series

$$f(z) = f(z_1,\dots,z_p) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$$

with the domain of convergence $\mathbb{D}^p = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^p \colon |z_j| < 1,\ j \in \{1,\dots,p\}\}$, where $z^n = z_1^{n_1}\dots z_p^{n_p}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \ge 2$, $n = (n_1,\dots,n_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p$, $\|n\| = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j$.

By $\mathcal{A}^p$ we denote the class of such analytic functions.

For $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p) \in [0,1)^p$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$ we denote

$$\triangle_r = \{t \in [0,1)^p \colon t_j \ge r_j,\ j \in \{1,\dots,p\}\},$$
$$M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)| \colon |z_j| \le r_j,\ j \in \{1,\dots,p\}\},$$
$$\mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n|r^n \colon n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p\},\ \ \mathfrak{M}_f(r) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} |a_n|r^n.$$

We say that $E \subset [0,1)^p$ is a *set of asymptotically finite logarithmic measure on* $[0,1)^p$, if there exists $r_0 \in [0,1)^p$ such that

$$\nu_{\ln}(E \cap \triangle_{r_0}) := \int_{E\cap\triangle_{r_0}} \cdots \int \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{dr_i}{1-r_i} < +\infty,$$

i.e. the set $E \cap \triangle_{r_0}$ is a set of finite logarithmic measure on $[0,1)^p$. We denote such class of the sets by Υ.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$ in [3] was proved such a statement.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a set $E = E(f,\delta) \subset [0,1)^p, E \in \Upsilon$ such that for all $r \in [0,1)^p \backslash E$ we have*

$$M_f(r) \le \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \le \mu_f(r)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1-r_j} \cdot \ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\right)^{1+\delta}. \qquad (7)$$

Also in [3] was proved that exponent $1+\delta$ in inequality (7) cannot be replaced by a number smaller than 1. It follows from such a theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$, a constant $C > 0$ and a set $E \subset [0,1)^p, E \notin \Upsilon$ such that for all $r \in E$ the inequality*

$$M_f(r) \ge C\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1-r_j} \cdot \ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}$$

holds.

The aim of this paper is to prove the sharp Wiman's inequality for random analytic functions in the polydisc. We will prove, that almost surely the exponent $1+\delta$ in inequality (7) one can replace by $\frac{1}{2}+\delta$, and this exponent cannot be placed by a number smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let $Z = (Z_n(t))$ be a complex sequence of random variables $Z_n(t) = X_n(t) + iY_n(t)$ such that both $X = (X_n(t))$ and $Y = (Y_n(t))$ are real MS and $K(f,Z)$ the class of random analytic functions of the form

$$f(z,t) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} a_n Z_n(t) z_1^{n_1} \dots z_p^{n_p}.$$

For such a functions we prove following statement.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$, Z be a MS uniformly bounded by the number 1, $\delta > 0$. Then almost surely in $K(f,Z)$ there exists a set $E = E(f,t,\delta), E \in \Upsilon$ such that for all $r \in [0,1)^p \backslash E$ we have*

$$M_f(r,t) \le \mu_f(r)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r_j}} \cdot \ln^{p/4}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\right)^{1+\delta}. \qquad (8)$$

We prove that no one of powers $1/2$ and $p/4$ in inequality (8) we cannot replace by smaller number than $1/2$ and $p/4$ respectively. It follows from such statement.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Z be a sequence of random variables such that $|Z_n| \geq 1$ for almost all $t \in [0;1]$. Then there exist an analytic function $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$, a constant $C > 0$ and a set $E = E(f,t,\delta) \subset [0,1)^p$, $E \notin \Upsilon$ such that almost surely in $K(f,Z)$ for all $r \in E$ we get*

$$M_f(r,t) \geq C\mu_f(r) \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r_j}} \cdot \ln^{p/4}\left\{\mu_f(r) \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}. \tag{9}$$

3 Proofs

We give a proof of Theorem 1.2 for completeness.

3.1 Proof of theorem 1.2.

We consider

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \exp\{\sqrt{n}\} z^n, \;\; f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \exp\{\sqrt{n}/2\} z^n,$$
$$f(z,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} X_n(t) \exp\{\sqrt{n}/2\} z^n.$$

Remark that for all $0 < r < 1$

$$\mu_g(r^2) = \max\{e^{\sqrt{n}} r^{2n} \colon n \geq 1\} = \max\{(e^{\sqrt{n}/2} r^n)^2 \colon n \geq 1\} = (\mu_f(r))^2$$

and using Parseval's equality we get

$$M_g(r^2) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |X_n(t)|^2 \exp\{\sqrt{n}\} r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta},t)|^2 d\theta \leq (M_f(r,t))^2.$$

Therefore using (3) we obtain

$$(M_f(r,t))^2 \geq M_g(r^2) \geq C \frac{\mu_g(r^2)}{1-r^2} \cdot \ln^{1/2} \frac{\mu_g(r^2)}{1-r^2} \geq \frac{C}{2} \frac{\mu_f^2(r)}{1-r} \cdot \ln^{1/2} \frac{\mu_f^2(r)}{1-r},$$
$$M_f(r,t) \geq \sqrt{\frac{C}{3}} \frac{\mu_f(r)}{\sqrt{1-r}} \cdot \ln^{1/4} \frac{\mu_f(r)}{1-r}, \;\; r \to 1-0.$$

3.2 Proof of theorem 2.3.

Lemma 3.1 ([6])**.** *Let $X = (X_n(t))$ be a MS uniformly bounded by the number 1. Then for each $\beta > 0$ there exists a constant $A_{\beta p} > 0$, which*

depends on p and β only such that for all $N \geq N_1(p) = \max\{p, 4\pi\}$ and $\{c_n \colon \|n\| \leq N\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$P\left\{t \colon \max\left\{\left|\sum_{\|n\|=0}^{N} c_n X_n(t) e^{in_1\psi_1} \dots e^{in_p\psi_p}\right| \colon \psi \in [0,2\pi]^p\right\} \geq A_{\beta p} S_N \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N\right\} \leq \frac{1}{N^\beta}, \tag{10}$$

where $S_N^2 = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{N} |c_n|^2$.

Lemma 3.2 ([3])**.** *Let $\delta > 0$. There exists a set $E \subset [0,1)^p, E \in \Upsilon$ such that for all $r \in [0,1)^p \backslash E$ the inequality*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r_s} \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \leq (\ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r))^{1+\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{1-r_s} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{p} \Big(\frac{1}{1-r_j}\Big)^{\delta}, \ s \in \{1, \dots, p\},$$

holds.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $Z = X = (X_n(t))$ is a MS (see [7]).

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k > -l$ we denote

$$G_{kl} = \Big\{r = (r_1, \dots, r_p) \in [0;1)^p \colon$$
$$k \leq \sum_{j=1}^{p} \ln \frac{1}{1-r_j} \leq k+1, \ l \leq \ln \mu_f(r) \leq l+1\Big\}, \quad G_{kl}^{+} = \bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{j=l}^{+\infty} G_{ij}.$$

Remark that the set

$$E_0 = \Big\{r \in [0;1)^p \colon \sum_{j=1}^{p} \ln \frac{1}{1-r_j} + \ln \mu_f(r) < 1\Big\} =$$
$$= \Big\{r \in [0;1)^p \colon \mu_f(r) \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1-r_j} < e\Big\} \in \Upsilon,$$

because there exists r_0 such that $E_0 \cap [r_0;1)^p = \varnothing$.

By Lemma 3.2 there exists a set $E_1 \supset E_0, E_1 \in \Upsilon$ such that for all $r \in$

$[0;1)^p\backslash E_1$ we have

$$\sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty}\|n\|\cdot|a_n|r^n\le\mathfrak{M}_f(r)(\ln\mathfrak{M}_f(r))^{1+\delta}\cdot\sum_{s=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{1-r_s}\cdot\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\ne s}}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{1-r_j}\Big)^{\delta}\Big)\le$$

$$\le\mu_f(r)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\cdot\ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\right)^{1+\delta}\times$$

$$\times\Big(\ln\mu_f(r)+(1+\delta)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\ln\frac{1}{1-r_j}+\frac{p}{2}\ln\Big(\ln\mu_f(r)+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\ln\frac{1}{1-r_j}\Big)\Big)\Big)^{1+\delta}\times$$

$$\times\sum_{s=1}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{1-r_s}\cdot\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\ne s}}^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{1-r_j}\Big)^{\delta}\Big)\le$$

$$\le\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(1-r_j)^{1+2\delta}}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\cdot\ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\le$$

$$\le\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(1-r_j)^{2+2\delta}}\cdot\ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\|n\|\ge d}|a_n|r^n\le\sum_{\|n\|\ge d}\frac{\|n\|}{d}|a_n|r^n\le\frac{1}{d}\sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty}\|n\||a_n|r^n\le$$

$$\le\frac{1}{d}\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(1-r_j)^{2+2\delta}}\cdot\ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\le\mu_f(r),\qquad(11)$$

where

$$d=d(r)=\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{e^{2+3\delta}}{(1-r_j)^{2+3\delta}}\cdot\ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}.$$

Let $G_{kl}^*=G_{kl}\setminus E_2$, $I=\{(i;j)\colon G_{ij}^*\ne\varnothing\}$,

$$E_2=E_1\cup\left(\bigcup_{(i,j)\notin I}G_{ij}\right).$$

Then $\#I=+\infty$. For $(k,l)\in I$ we choose a sequence $r^{(k,l)}\in G_{kl}^*$ such that

$\mu_f(r^{(k,l)}) = \min\limits_{r\in G^*_{kl}} \mu_f(r)$. Then for all $r \in G^*_{kl}$ we get

$$\mu_f(r^{(k,l)}) \le \mu_f(r) \le e\mu_f(r^{(k,l)}), \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{e}\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}} \le \prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j} \le e\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{1}{e^2}\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}} \le \mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j} \le e^2\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}} \tag{14}$$

and also

$$\bigcup_{(k,l)\in I} G^*_{kl} = \bigcup_{(k,l)\in I} G_{kl}\setminus E_1 = \bigcup_{k,l=1}^{+\infty} G_{kl}\setminus E_1 = [0;1)^p\setminus E_1.$$

Denote $N_{kl} = [2d_1(r^{(k,l)})]$, where

$$d_1(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{e^{2+3\delta}}{(1-r_j)^{2+3\delta}}\cdot \ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{e^2\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}.$$

For $r \in G^*_{kl}$ we put

$$W_{N_{kl}}(r,t) = \max\left\{\left|\sum_{\|n\|\le N_{kl}} a_n r_1^{n_1}\dots r_p^{n_p} e^{in_1\psi_1+\dots+in_p\psi_p}X_n(t)\right| : \psi\in[0,2\pi]^p\right\}.$$

For a Lebesgue measurable set $G \subset G^*_{kl}$ and for $(k,l)\in I$ we denote

$$\nu_{kl}(G) = \frac{\mathrm{meas}_p(G)}{\mathrm{meas}_p(G^*_{kl})},$$

where meas_p denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^p$.

Remark that ν_{kl} is a probability measure defined on the family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of G^*_k ([7]). Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{(k,l)\in I} G^*_{kl}$ and

$$k_i, l_{i,j}\colon (k_i,l_{i,j})\in I,\ k_i<k_{i+1},\ l_{i,j}<l_{i,j+1},\ \forall i,j\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For Lebesgue measurable subsets G of Ω we denote

$$\nu(G) = 2^{k_0}\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k_i}}\Big(1-\Big(\frac12\Big)^{k_{i+1}-k_i}\Big)\times\right.$$

$$\left.\times\sum_{j=0}^{N_i}\frac{2^{l_{i,0}}}{2^{l_{i,j}}}\frac{\Big(1-\Big(\frac12\Big)^{l_{i,j+1}-l_{i,j}}\Big)}{1-\Big(\frac12\Big)^{l_{i,N_i}}}\nu_{k_{i+1}l_{i+1,j+1}}(G\cap G^*_{k_{j+1}l_{i+1,j+1}})\right), \tag{15}$$

where $N_i = \max\{j\colon (k_i, l_{ij}) \in I\}$. Remark that $\nu_{k_{j+1}l_{j+1}}(G^*_{k_{j+1}l_{j+1}}) = \nu(\Omega) = 1$.

Thus ν is a probability measure, which is defined on measurable subsets of Ω. On $[0,1] \times \Omega$ we define the probability measure $P_0 = P \otimes \nu$, which is a direct product of the probability measures P and ν. Now for $(k;l) \in I$ we define

$$F_{kl} = \{(t,r) \in [0,1] \times \Omega\colon W_{N_{kl}}(r,t) > A_p S_{N_{kl}}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_{kl}\},$$
$$F_{kl}(r) = \{t \in [0,1]\colon W_{N_{kl}}(r,t) > A_p S_{N_{kl}}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_{kl}\},$$

where $S^2_{N_{kl}}(r) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{N_{kl}} |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ and A_p is the constant from Lemma 3.2 with $\beta = 1$. Using Fubini's theorem and Lemma 1 with $c_n = a_n r^n$ and $\beta = 1$, we get for $(k,l) \in I$

$$P_0(F_{kl}) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\int\limits_{F_{kl}(r)} dP \right) d\nu = \int\limits_{\Omega} P(F_{kl}(r)) d\nu \le \frac{1}{N_{kl}} \nu(\Omega) = \frac{1}{N_{kl}}.$$

Note that

$$N_{kl} > \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{(1 - r_j^{(k,l)})^2} \ln^{p/2+1+p\delta} \Big\{ \mu_f(r^{(k,l)}) \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1 - r_j^{(k,l)}} \Big\} \ge e^k (l+k)^{2+p\delta}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{(k,l)\in I} P_0(F_{kl}) \le \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=-k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{e^k (l+k)^{2+p\delta}} < +\infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli's lemma the infinite quantity of the events $\{F_{kl}\colon (k,l) \in I\}$ may occur with probability zero. So,

$$P_0(F) = 1, \quad F = \bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{\substack{k \ge s,\ l \ge m \\ (k,l)\in I}} \overline{F_{kl}} \subset [0,1] \times \Omega.$$

Then for any point $(t,r) \in F$ there exist $k_0 = k_0(t,r)$ and $l_0 = l_0(t,r)$ such that for all $k \ge k_0$, $l \ge l_0$, $(k,l) \in I$ we have

$$W_{N_{kl}}(r,t) \le A_p S_{N_{kl}}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_{kl}.$$

So, $\nu(F^\wedge(t)) = 1$ (see [7]).

For any $t \in F_1$([7]) and $(k,l) \in I$ we choose a point $r_0^{(k,l)}(t) \in G^*_{kl}$ such that

$$W_{N_{kl}}(r_0^{(k,l)}(t), t) \ge \frac{3}{4} M_{kl}(t), \ M_{kl}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{W_{N_{kl}}(r,t)\colon r \in G^*_{kl}\}.$$

Then from $\nu_{kl}(F^{\wedge}(t)\cap G^{*}_{kl})=1$ for all $(k,l)\in I$ it follows that there exists a point $r^{(k,l)}(t)\in G^{*}_{kl}\cap F^{\wedge}(t)$ such that

$$|W_{N_{kl}}(r_0^{(k,l)}(t),t)-W_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)}(t),t)|<\frac{1}{4}M_{kl}(t)$$

or

$$\frac{3}{4}M_{kl}(t)\le W_{N_{kl}}(r_0^{(k,l)}(t),t)\le W_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)}(t),t)+\frac{1}{4}M_{kl}(t).$$

Since $(t,r^{(k,l)}(t))\in F$, from inequality (15) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}M_{kl}(t)\le W_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)}(t),t)\le A_pS_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)}(t))\ln^{1/2}N_{kl}.$$

Now for $r^{(k,l)}=r^{(k,l)}(t)$ we get

$$S^2_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)})\le\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\mathfrak{M}_f(r^{(k,l)})\le$$
$$\le\mu_f^2(r^{(k,l)})\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\right\}\right)^{1+\delta}.$$

So, for $t\in F_1$ and all $k\ge k_0(t)$, $l\ge l_0(t)$, we obtain

$$S_N(r^{(k,l)})\le\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\right\}\right)^{1/2+\delta/2}. \tag{16}$$

It follows from (12)–(14) that $d_1(r^{(k,l)})\ge d(r)$ for $r\in G^{*}_{kl}$. Then for $t\in F_1$, $r\in F^{\wedge}(t)\cap G^{*}_{kl}$, $(k,l)\in I,\ k\ge k_0(t), l\ge l_0(t)$ we get

$$M_f(r,t)\le\sum_{\|n\|\ge 2d_1(r^{(k,l)})}|a_n|r^n+W_{N_{kl}}(r,t)\le\sum_{\|n\|\ge 2d(r)}|a_n|r^n+M_{kl}(t).$$

Finally for $t\in F_1$, $r\in F^{\wedge}(t)\cap G^{*}_{kl}$, $l\ge l_0(t)$ and $k\ge k_0(t)$ we obtain

$$M_f(r^{(k,l)},t)\le\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})+2A_pS_{N_{kl}}(r^{(k,l)})\ln^{1/2}N_{kl}\le\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})+$$
$$+2A_p\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\ln^{p/2}\left\{\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\right\}\right)^{1/2+\delta/2}\times$$
$$\times\ln\left(6\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(1-r_j^{(k,l)})^{2+3\delta}}\cdot\ln^{p/2+1+p\delta}\left\{e^2\mu_f(r^{(k,l)})\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j^{(k,l)}}\right\}\right).$$

So, we get for $t\in F_1$, $r\in F^{\wedge}(t)\cap G^{*}_{kl}$, $k\ge k_0(t)$ and $l\ge l_0(t)$

$$M_f(r,t)\le\mu_f(r)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(1-r_j)^{1/2}}\cdot\ln^{p/4}\left\{\mu_f(r)\prod_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{1-r_j}\right\}\right)^{1+\delta}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore inequality (17) holds almost surely ($t \in F_1$, $P(F_1) = 1$) for all

$$r \in \Big(\bigcup_{(k,l)\in I} (G^*_{kl} \cap F^\wedge(t)) \cap G^+_{kl} \Big) \setminus E^* =$$
$$= ([0;1)^p \cap G^+_{kl}) \setminus (E^* \cup G^* \cup E_1) = [0;1)^p \setminus E_2,$$

where

$$G^+_{kl} = \bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{j=l}^{+\infty} G_{kl},\ E_2 = E_1 \cup G^* \cup E^*,\ G^* = \bigcup_{(k,l)\in I} (G^*_{kl} \setminus F^\wedge(t)).$$

It remains to remark that $\nu(G^*)$ satisfies $\nu(G^*) = \sum_{(k,l)\in I} (\nu_{kl}(G^*_{kl}) - \nu_{kl}(F^\wedge(t))) = 0$. Then for all $(k,l) \in I$ we obtain

$$\nu_{kl}(G^*_{kl} \setminus F^\wedge(t)) = \frac{\mathrm{meas}_p(G^*_{kl} \setminus F^\wedge(t))}{\mathrm{meas}_p(G^*_{kl})} = 0,$$
$$\mathrm{meas}_p(G^*_{kl} \setminus F^\wedge(t)) = \int\limits_{G^*_{kl}\setminus F^\wedge(t)} \cdots \int \frac{dr_1 \ldots dr_p}{(1-r_1)\ldots(1-r_p)} = 0.$$

□

3.3 Proof of theorem 2.4.

Consider the function

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_0(z_j),\ z = (z_1, \ldots, z_p) \in \mathbb{D}^p,\ f_0(\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{\sqrt{k}/2} \tau^k,\ \tau \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The function $g(t) = \ln \frac{\mu_{f_0}(t)}{1-t}$ is positive continuous increasing on $(1/2;1)$, $\lim\limits_{t\to 1-0} g(t) = +\infty$. Therefore, there exists the inverse function $g^{-1}\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to (1/2;1)$.

For the function $f(z)$ and $r \in [0;1)^p$ we have

$$M_f(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} M_{f_0}(r_j),\ \mu_f(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mu_{f_0}(r_j).$$

It follows from Theorem 1.2, that there exist $t' \in (0;1)$ and a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for $t \in (t',1)$ we get

$$M_{f_0}(t) \geq C_1 \frac{\mu_{f_0}(t)}{\sqrt{1-t}} \ln^{1/4} \frac{\mu_{f_0}(t)}{1-t}. \tag{18}$$

Let us prove inequality

$$g^{-1}(3g(t))-g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t)}{3}\Big)>1-g^{-1}(3g(t)),\ t\to 1-0. \tag{19}$$

For fixed $t\in(0;1)$ we consider the function $l(x)=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x}-x\ln\frac{1}{t}$. $x_{\max}=\frac{1}{16\ln^2\frac{1}{t}}$ is unique maximum point of the function $l(x)$. Thus

$$\max\{l(x)\colon x>0\}=l_{\max}=\frac{1}{16\ln\frac{1}{t}},$$
$$g(t)=\ln\frac{\mu_{f_0}(t)}{1-t}\sim\ln\mu_{f_0}(t)\sim\frac{1}{16\ln\frac{1}{t}}\sim\frac{1}{16(1-t)},\ t\to 1-0.$$

Then $g(t)<3g(2t-1),\ t\to 1-0$. Therefore,

$$g(2t-1)>\frac{g(t)}{3},\ 2t-1>g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t)}{3}\Big),\ t-g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t)}{3}\Big)>1-t.$$

Using $g^{-1}(3g(t))>g^{-1}(g(t))=t$ we obtain as $t\to 1-0$

$$g^{-1}(3g(t))-g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t)}{3}\Big)>t-g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t)}{3}\Big)>1-t>1-g^{-1}(3g(t)).$$

So, inequality (19) is proved.

There exist a constant $C_1\in(0,1)$ and $r^*\in(r',1)$ such that for all $z\in\{z\colon t^*<|z_k|<1,\ k\in\{1,\dots,p\}\}$ we obtain

$$M_{f_0}(r_k)\ge C_1\frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_k)}{\sqrt{1-r_k}}\ln^{1/4}\frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_k)}{1-r_k}\ \text{ and }\ g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(t^*)}{3}\Big)>r^0. \tag{20}$$

Then for all $z\in\{z\colon r^*<|z_j|<1,\ j\in\{1,\dots,p\}\}$ we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p}M_{f_0}(r_i)\ge\prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(C_1\frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_i)}{\sqrt{1-r_i}}\ln^{1/4}\frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_i)}{1-r_i}\right),$$
$$M_f(r)\ge C_1^p\mu_f(r)\prod_{i=1}^{p}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r_i}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p}\ln\frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_i)}{1-r_i}\right)^{1/4}. \tag{21}$$

For $r_1\in(t^*,1)$ we define x and y such that

$$x=x(r_1)=g^{-1}\Big(\frac{g(r_1)}{3}\Big),\ y=y(r_1)=g^{-1}(3g(r_1)).$$

Let $E^*=\{r\in[0,1)^p\colon r_1\in(t^*,1),\ r_i\in(x,y),\ i\in\{2,\dots,p\}\}$.
Fix $r_1\in(r^*,1)$. Then x and y are also fixed and

$$g(x)=g(r_1)/3,\ g(y)=3g(r_1),\ g(y)=9g(x),\ (r_2,\dots,r_p)\in(x,y)^{p-1}.$$

Therefore, using that $r_1 > x$ we get for all $r \in E^*$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p} g(r_i) \geq g^p(x) = \frac{g^p(y)}{9^p} = \frac{1}{(9p)^p}\underbrace{(g(y) + \ldots + g(y))}_{p}{}^p \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{(9p)^p}(g(r_1) + \ldots + g(r_p))^p = \frac{1}{(9p)^p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} g(r_i)\right)^p.$$

Therefore we get for all $r \in E^*$

$$M_f(r) \geq C_1^p \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r_i}} \cdot \frac{1}{(9p)^p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \ln \frac{\mu_{f_0}(r_i)}{1-r_i}\right)^{p/4} =$$

$$= C_2 \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r_i}} \ln^{p/4}\left(\mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1-r_i}\right).$$

It remains to prove, that set E^* is a set of infinite asymptotically logarithmic measure. Since $g^{-1}\left(\frac{g(r^*)}{3}\right) > r^0$ then $E^* \cap \triangle_{r^0} = E^*$. Finally

$$\nu_{\ln}(E^* \cap \triangle_{r^0}) = \nu_{\ln}(E^*) = \int\limits_{E^*} \cdots \int \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{dr_i}{1-r_i} = \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \underbrace{\int\limits_{x}^{y} \cdots \int\limits_{x}^{y}}_{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{dr_i}{1-r_i} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \left(\int\limits_{x}^{y} \frac{dr_2}{1-r_2}\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} = \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \left(\ln \frac{1}{1-y} - \ln \frac{1}{1-x}\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \left(\ln \frac{1}{1-g^{-1}(3g(r_1))} - \ln \frac{1}{1-g^{-1}(\frac{g(r_1)}{3})}\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \ln^{p-1} \frac{1-g^{-1}(\frac{g(r_1)}{3})}{1-g^{-1}(3g(r_1))} \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \ln^{p-1}\left(1 + \frac{g^{-1}(3g(r_1)) - g^{-1}(\frac{g(r_1)}{3})}{1-g^{-1}(3g(r_1))}\right) \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} > \int\limits_{t^*}^{1} \ln^{p-1} 2 \cdot \frac{dr_1}{1-r_1} = +\infty.$$

References

[1] T. Kővari, On the maximum modulus and maximal term of functions analytic in the unit disc, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **41** (1966), 129 - 137.

[2] N.M. Suleymanov, Wiman-Valiron's type inequalities for power series with bounded radii of convergence and its sharpness, *DAN SSSR*, **253** (1980), no.4, 822 - 824. (in Russian)

[3] A.O. Kuryliak, L.O. Shapovalovska, O.B. Skaskiv, Wiman's type inequality for analytic functions in the polydisc, *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, (2015). (accepted, in Ukrainian)

[4] P.V. Filevych, Wiman-Valioron's type estimates for the random analytic functions in the unit disc, *Integral transformations and its application for boundary problems. Kuiv: Institute of Math.*, **15** (1997), 227 - 238. (in Ukrainian)

[5] O.B. Skaskiv, A.O. Kuryliak, Direct analogues of Wiman's inequality for functions analytic in the unit disc, *Carp. Mat. Publ.*, **2** (2010), no.1, 109 - 118. (in Ukrainian)

[6] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, Wiman's type inequalities without exceptional sets for random entire functions of several variables, *Mat. Stud.*, **38** (2012), no.1, 35 - 50.

[7] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, O.V. Zrum, Levy's phenomenon for entire functions of several variables, *Ufimsky Mat. Journ.*, **6** (2014), no.2, 118 - 127.

[8] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, I.E. Chyzhykov Baire categories and Wiman's inequality for analytic functions, *Bulletin de la société des sciences et des lettres de Lódź.*, **62** (2012), no.3, 17 - 33.

[9] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, I.E. Chyzhykov Baire categories and Wiman's inequality for analytic functions, *arXiv: 1206.3655v1.*, (2012), 17 p.

[10] A. Shumitzky, A probabilistic approach to the Wiman-Valiron's theory for entire functions of several complex variables, *Complex Variables*, **13** (1989), 85 - 98.

[11] P.C. Fenton, Wiman-Valiron theory in two variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **347** (1995), no.11, 4403 - 4412.

[12] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, S.R. Skaskiv, Analogues Wiman's inequality and Levy's phenomenon for analytic functions in bidisc, *Bukovinian Math. Journ.*, **3** (2015), no.3-4, 102 - 110.

[13] O.B. Skaskiv, O.V. Zrum, On Wiman's inequality of random entire functions of two variables, *Mat. Stud.*, **23** (2005), no.2, 149 - 160. (in Ukrainian)